

VENTURE CAPITAL TRENDS IN EMERGING MARKETS

¹Rajinder Kumar ¹Sandeep Singh

¹Assistant Professor, Department of Business Management & Commerce, Desh Bhagat University, Mandi Gobindgarh, Punjab

²Yakubu Abukari

²Teaching Assistant, Department of Business Management & Commerce, Desh Bhagat University, Mandi Gobindgarh, Punjab

ABSTRACT

This study explores contemporary venture capital (VC) funding trends in Africa, Southeast Asia, and Latin America while analyzing emerging markets. We employ a mixed-methods strategy that integrates quantitative investment data analysis between 2020 and 2024 and interviews with industry stakeholders to uncover shifts in funding patterns, sector focus, and investment approaches along specific strategies. We uncover a drastic reallocating of VC funding resources to emerging markets attributed to technological leapfrogging, favorable demographic dividends, and increasing digital adoption. Regionally specific analysis reveals cross-regional dominance of Fintech, as well as accelerated growth in health tech, edtech, and climate tech. Comparative regional analysis depicts differentiation in the pace of ecosystem maturity. Southeast Asia demonstrates a middle phase of investment consolidation, Africa undergoes unprecedented early-stage funding surges, and Latin America displays increasingly sophisticated inter-regional investment pattern formation. Evolving investment geopolitical frameworks and considerations are among the prominent reasons for the direction of investment capital flows. This study enhances the understanding of the shifts in global venture capital funding strategies and offers guidance to entrepreneurs, investors, and policymakers in emerging markets.

Keywords: [Venture Capital, Emerging Markets, Fintech, Funding Patterns, Investment Trends, Startup Ecosystems]

1. INTRODUCTION

The impact of the global pandemic has altered the venture capital ecosystem as emerging markets took center stage for a vast majority of investment strategies around the globe (Arundale & Mason, 2022). This realignment is not simply a remapping of capital distribution. Instead, it marks a fundamental shift in reconsidering global innovation systems' risk-reward dynamics (Dimov et al., 2023). Venture capital, as it has been practiced, usually focuses on developed markets. Now, it is being innovatively transformed and repurposed to meet the needs of emerging economies (Lerner & Nanda, 2020).

Evidence suggests that, while previously viewed as peripheral, emerging markets constitute primary regions of focus for global venture portfolio diversification (Van Voorhis & Zhao, 2022). The capital concentration in North America and Europe is still quite significant, but compared to Africa, Southeast Asia, and Latin America, the developed markets have lower relative growth rates (Gompers et al., 2021). This change in the direction of capital flows has both pull and push dynamics, including higher growth prospects for investors outside saturated markets and innovative- infrastructure-bound entrepreneurs in emerging economies (Dushnitsky & Zunino, 2023).

This research investigates the most recent changes in venture capital funding to emerging markets, specifically focusing on Africa, Southeast Asia, and Latin America.

These areas illustrate different development patterns while facing institutional gaps, market fragmentation, and inadequate infrastructure (Khanna & Palepu, 2022). This research examines venture capital activity across these various contexts to uncover converging regional patterns and divergent region-specific patterns that shape the entrepreneurial finance ecosystem within emerging economies.

This emerging contribution is multifaceted. First, it offers new evidence on investment activity within South Africa and Brazil, the world's two largest emerging economies, in the context of venture capitalism in the post-pandemic decade (2020-2024). Second, it highlights the comparative approach to assess how ecosystems within different geographic regions advance through different pathways and at varying speeds. Third, it highlights the distribution of venture funding by sectors, revealing levels of active participation by investors versus potential opportunity gaps. Lastly, it emphasizes the recently escalated focus on regulations and geopolitics as significant influencers of cross-border capital flows during rising global tensions.

This paper is organized as follows: Section 2 discusses the literature on venture capitalism in emerging markets; Section 3 describes the methods used; Section 4 details the results by presenting overall funding activities, dissecting them by sectors, and contrasting regions; Section 5 explores the implications for theory and practice, and Section 6 outlines the limitations and proposes avenues for future research.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Theoretical Foundations of Venture Capital in Emerging Markets

Applying venture capital frameworks to emerging markets has attracted much attention, with scholars focusing on how traditional investment strategies are modified to fit specific institutional contexts. The gaps-in-the-market-structure paradigm put forth by Khanna and Palepu draws attention to how the absence of developed market intermediaries poses specific difficulties and offers some measure of venture capitalism opportunities within underdeveloped economies. This reasoning depicts why venture capital in emerging markets often has to be more than money. Investors offer business development, regulatory guidance, and substantial networking services (Mingo et al., 2021).

Agency theory has been widely studied in venture capital, particularly regarding the information asymmetry problem, which tends to be amplified in emerging markets (Jensen & Meckling, 1976; Sahlman, 1990). More recently, Cumming et al. (2023) builds on this by analyzing how contracts adapt to more uncertainty in less legally developed jurisdictions. They report that in emerging markets, venture deals are more likely to have sophisticated governance arrangements that allow unilateral divergence from the agreed terms to become the dominant form of governance.

Resource dependence theory poses another constructive argument regarding the emerging market venture capital situation, particularly concerning the absence of financial resources (Pfeffer & Salancik, 1978). Huang and Knight (2021) show how, in these countries, successful venture capitalists use their social capital and particular skills to add value to portfolio companies as a means of bridging the gaps created by weak institutional frameworks. This view assists in understanding the excessive valuations of firms that are seasoned cross-border investors with well-connected regional network sponsors.

2.2 Empirical Studies on Regional Venture Capital Patterns

2.2.1 Africa

Research on the venture ecosystems of Africa has noted the remarkable growth of early-stage financing and the fact that technological leapfrogging allows for innovation even when infrastructure is lacking. Drouillard et al. (2021) examined the venture funding landscape in major African markets and reported an annual growth rate of over 40% from 2016 to 2020, mainly in Fintech, logistics, and agri-tech. More recent work by Adegbesan and Ricart (2023) noted the maturation of regional innovation and investor syndicates around Lagos, Nairobi, and Cape Town while increasingly specializing within set advantages of each ecosystem.

The type of diaspora investors has been a focal point of the research in African venture capital. Kuratko and Morris (2022) studied the financing activities of diaspora-affiliated venture funds. They discovered that these funds invest almost twice as much in African startups as their non-diaspora counterparts and maintain essential market linkages to international networks. This supports earlier findings by Saxenian (2022), who analyzed transnational technical communities and their contribution to knowledge transfer in emerging innovation ecosystems.

2.2.2 Southeast Asia

Scholars have focused on venture capital in Southeast Asia, focusing on the region's shift from being dominated by early-stage funding to more developed growth-stage funding ecosystems.

Bruton and Ahlstrom's (2023) comprehensive research documents the evolution of regional investment patterns from 2015 to 2022, noting increasing specialization among venture investors and rising activity from corporate venture capital arms of entrenched regional conglomerates. Their longitudinal analysis describes an archetypal "Southeast Asian model" of venture development with distinct features such as rapid scaling, market fragmentation, and early internationalization.

Scholars have focused on ASEAN countries' cross-border investment activities. Pananond and Yahiaoui's (2022) study explains how regulatory alignment promotes Investment within a specific region and highlights stark contrasts in cross-border ventures between more regulatory-aligned countries and those with differing approaches. This builds on Gubbi et al.'s (2020) analysis of institutional distance and investment patterns in the region's economically disparate countries.

2.2.3 Latin America

Latin America's venture ecosystems have attracted scholarly attention as research focuses on the region's sophistication and internationalization, particularly on efforts to establish investment networks across national borders. Arráiz et al. (2021) extensively documented the shifting capital flow landscape, from initial U.S.-dominated funding to intra-regional, European, and even Asian corporate investment sources. Their analysis demonstrates that transactions with at least one local investor are significantly more successful than those with purely foreign investments. This implies the context of local knowledge matters.

The literature has developed substantially regarding sectorial focus within Latin American venture ecosystems. Monteiro (2022) studies how regional comparative advantages influence investment strategies by noting disproportionate venture funding for Brazilian FinTech, Mexican logistics technology, and Colombian healthcare innovations due to merit-based market opportunities. His findings add to the work of Vassallo et al. (2021), who focused on

how entrepreneurial ecosystems cultivate specialized competencies to serve market demands and institutional frameworks in the region.

2.3 Sectorial Venture Funding Analysis

Venture funding in emerging markets is dominated by Fintech, with an expanding body of literature focused on the reasons behind investor interest and the economic impact of financial innovation. Haddad and Hornuf (2021) document significant regulatory impacts on fintech investment across various jurisdictions, highlighting disproportionate capital inflows to jurisdictions with tailored regulatory frameworks. Their cross-national comparison suggests that effective fintech regulation balances innovation enablement with appropriate consumer protections, creating stable environments for sustainable growth. Scholars focusing on the intersection of sustainability and venture funding models are increasingly paying attention to investments in climate technology.

Polzin and Sanders (2023) investigate the differences in climate tech venture investments in emerging markets relative to other traditional sectorial patterns, noting longer investment horizons, greater regulatory monitoring, and a heightened prevalence of public-private partnership frameworks. Their study builds on prior work by Nanda et al. (2022), which examined the problems wrought by traditional venture metrics on climate innovations with long time horizons and complex impact causation requirements.

2.4 Current Research Gaps

Several noteworthy gaps remain amid a rich body of literature dealing with venture capital within emerging markets. Most prominently, most studies are single-region or country-centric, offering little comparative analysis within and across significant emerging market ecosystems. Further, a substantial portion of this research predates the significant recalibration of the 2022-2023 market, which significantly reconfigured global venture capital. In addition, the dramatic shift in funding priorities sparked by the recent surge of artificial intelligence investments has been neglected within the emerging market framework. The interdisciplinary study of geopolitics and cross-border venture activity is also lacking, particularly in how Investment flows respond to shifting compliance and regulatory frameworks intertwined with geopolitical tensions.

This study aims to fill these gaps by offering a comprehensive comparative analysis across three major emerging market regions.

It analyzes sectorial patterns, including investments directed towards AI, and integrates the contemporary geopolitical landscape of the venture capital movement. These aspects help us better understand emerging market venture ecosystems and how they adapt and evolve.

3. METHODOLOGY

3.1 Research Design

We analyze data on venture funding using qualitative methods, gathering information from people working within the industry. These patterns come from quantitative data, which helps create contextual analysis and attempts to understand the complex issues related to venture capital in emerging economies (Creswell & Clark, 2022). For this study, we will also incorporate cross-sectional analysis to determine the geographic variation and longitudinal analysis to assess the evolution from 2020 to 2024.

3.2 Data Sources and Collection

Combining primary qualitative data from various other sources helps create quantitative data. In this case, data concerning venture transactions from the years 2020 to 2024 are fetched from these three differing sources:

- (1) Pitch Book's global venture transactions database,
- (2) CB Insights emerging markets deal flow database and
- (3) Region-compiled databases like Partech Africa's Africa-focused regional reports, Preqin Southeast Asia reports, and LAVCA Latin America reports.

These databases helped overcome the gaps associated with independent databases, aiming towards extensive compilation of early-stage projects that go unrecorded in global databases (Kaplan & Lerner, 2021). This data set captures over 12,847 venture transactions for the three regions, including funding amounts, investor profiles, company sectors, and other details. All monetary values were adjusted to constant 2024 USD using the relevant temporal deflators for comparability across periods.

Qualitative data was gathered from semi-structured interviews with 47 participants from the industry, including Venture Capitalists (n=18), Founders (n=15), Ecosystem Support Organizations (n=8), and Policy Experts (n=6). Participants were selected through purposive sampling to capture a variety of geographies, investment stages, and sectorial focus. Interviews were conducted from November 2023 to February 2024, primarily through video conferencing, and each was 45-75 minutes long. All interviews were recorded, transcribed, and coded according to qualitative analysis standards and those set forth by Gioia et al. (2022).

3.3 Data Analysis

Descriptive statistics were first used for quantitative data to determine overall funding distribution, followed by inferential statistical methods to test for relationships between the primary variables of interest. Time-series analysis was used to explore temporal trends across regions, ANOVA was used to assess significant geographic differences, and regression was used to assess relationships between ecosystem maturity indicators and funding outcomes. All statistical computation was performed in R version 4.2.1; visualizations were created using the ggplot2 package.

Qualitative data were analyzed through thematic coding methods. Through initial open coding, I identified 78 preliminary concepts, which, through axial coding, were distilled into 21 second-order themes. These themes were further consolidated into six aggregate theoretical dimensions representing key venture dynamics of emerging markets. A pair of researchers systematically attributed codes to the data, which led to an inter-rater reliability coefficient of 0.87, demonstrating strong alignment in interpretive agreement.

In order to combine quantitative and qualitative analyses, we used a sequential explanatory design. First, statistical trends were identified and subsequently expanded upon via thematic analysis of interview data. From this standpoint, it became possible to capture what trends were occurring and explore the reasons driving those patterns from the standpoint of market participants.

3.4 Limitations

Several limitations of a broader methodological nature need to be discussed. Firstly, although multiple databases were employed, there is a lack of emerging market venture funding data

due to inconsistencies in reporting, especially regarding early-stage deals and transactions outside of prominent ecosystems. Secondly, although diverse, our interview sample does not represent all views across these complex and heterogeneous markets. Third, the study period (2020-2024) coincides with a particularly volatile period for global venture markets, which may limit the generalizability of the observed patterns during more stable conditions.

In addressing these limitations, we made an effort to triangulate data sources and, where this was not possible, be explicit about the gaps in data coverage in our analysis while situating findings within broader historical contexts and avoiding overly optimistic forward-looking projections.

Notwithstanding these limitations, the methodology offers a sound basis for exploring comparative venture capital patterns across major emerging market areas.

4. FINDINGS

4.1 Overall Funding Trends

We have identified emerging shifts in venture capital funding patterns for the three regions from 2020 to 2024. There was an increase in total annual venture funding amounting to 14.6 billion in 2020 and approximately 31.2 billion in 2024, accounting for a 114% rise, even with the 2022-2023 global venture capital contraction. This sharp spike in funding stands in contrast to the stagnant funding trajectories faced by more developed economies during the same duration, highlighting a structural shift in the increase of global venture reallocation resources towards emerging economies.

Funding flow temporality reveals distinct phases across the study period. The 2020-2021 phase exhibited explosive growth (76% year-over-year increase) alongside a global liquidity boom and pandemic-fueled digital acceleration. Moreover, the 2022-2023 phase displayed moderation (aggregate 12% decline) amidst the correction of the global venture market, while 2023-2024 showed robust recovery (37% growth), which indicates renewed investor trust in those emerging market prospects.

Southeast Asia attracted \$16.4 billion in absolute funding, while \$10.7 billion was funneled into Latin America and \$4.1 billion to Africa. These figures reveal a different narrative when examining cross-regional comparisons in relative growth trajectories. Africa shows the highest relative growth rate, at 163% increase from 2020-2024, followed by Southeast Asia and Latin America, at 122% and 86%, respectively.

Quantitative patterns are explained using interview data.

One Singapore-based venture capitalist remarked:

"I don't think the funding growth we are witnessing is purely cyclical in nature; it indicates a new assessment of where the future growth prospects will be. The Western markets are absolutely saturated and are not able to keep up with the pace of adoption in Southeast Asia."

Other investors with a multi-regional focus shared this view, stressing how former investment deterrents caused by a lack of infrastructure are now seen as opportunities for innovative business models that could skip several stages of development.

The analysis of the deal size distribution illustrates important regional structural disparities. Southeast Asian ecosystems are more mature. With funding deployed more than \$50 million, Southeast Asia leads at 48% for 2024, followed by Latin America at 32% and Africa stagnating at only 17%. In contrast, seed and pre-seed funding in Africa account for 31% of

venture activity and only 14% of Southeast Asian deals, reflecting differing ecosystem maturity levels.

4.2 Thematic Analysis by Sector

4.2.1 Dominance and Evolving Fintech

Fintech remains the dominant investment focus in all three regions, accounting for 31% of total funding during the study period. Still, the significant share of funding reveals other important evolutionary changes within this sector.

The early-stage funding of Fintech is now funneling into vertical agribusiness, embedded finance solutions, and credit platforms that cater specifically to small- to medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) instead of general payments or banking systems.

Investment differentiation within Fintech on a global scale is evident. African Fintech has a narrower focus targeting core financial inclusion issues, with 58% of funding focused on payment systems and basic banking. Southeast Asian Fintech has more breadth of focus where significant funding is directed into wealth management services (18%), consumer lending (22%), and SME financial services (24%). Fintech in Latin America is the most focused on enterprise needs, with 37% of Investment directed towards B2B vendor financial solutions.

A Brazilian venture investor provided context:

"From a Latin America or a Southeast Asia perspective, we are witnessing a more sophisticated investment thesis develop that stretches beyond deep payments or banking plays. What are interesting are the more niche specific pain point solutions in financial services. There is already a stack of infrastructure built by the early winners. These building blocks are critical for the layer we're currently investing into, which is Financial Services 2.0."

4.2.2 Emphasizing New Entering Sectors

Other than Fintech, several sectors show increasing investment activity. In the tri-region, healthcare technology received \$4.7 billion in 2023-2024, a 210% increase from 2020-2021. This growth spurt encompasses telemedicine, diagnostics, innovations in the pharmaceutical supply chain, and alternatives to traditional health insurance models.

The COVID-19 pandemic spurred initial interest, but the Investment is more reflective of structural opportunities within healthcare access barriers. Additionally, climate technology surfaced as another high-growth area, with \$3.6 billion invested during the study period. Investment focus areas include renewable energy distribution models suitable for areas with unreliable grids, climate-resilient agricultural technology, and innovations for natural capital in emerging economies. There are also significant regional disparities. Southeast Asia leads the pack with 51% of the funding, followed by Latin America at 32% and Africa at 17%.

An investor specializing in climate told the team:

"They are not just copying developed world climate solutions; they are reinventing the approach to tackle their specific problems. The best companies work within the constraints of infrastructure from day one."

Southeast Asia also leads in AI investments, concentrating on \$2.3 billion, with Southeast Asia first, followed by Latin America at \$1.7 billion and Africa at \$800 million. Overall, AI applications stand out as a relatively nascent but booming investment type showcasing distinctive policies divided by region. The comparison shows differing regional focuses, with

Southeast Asia prioritizing consumer-facing services and automation in financials while Latin America directed its funds toward industrial and agricultural applications.

African Investment focuses primarily on infrastructure and public service delivery, unlike other regions.

4.3 Regional Comparative Analysis

4.3.1 Africa

The African venture ecosystem differs significantly from other emerging markets in several ways. There is still a concentration of Investment, especially in specific geographical focal points. Nigeria, Kenya, Egypt, and South Africa together accounted for 74% of the continental funding during the period covered by the study. However, secondary ecosystems like Senegal, Ghana, Rwanda, and Morocco exhibit accelerated growth rates, indicating ecosystem diversification.

The makeup of investors in African ventures shows a significant change over time, with Africa-focused funds increasing their share from 32% in 2020 to 47% in 2024. This change reflects the growing number of Africa-focused investment funds that understand the region's unique complexities. At the same time, corporate venture participation rose from 11% to 19%, driven predominantly by telecommunications, financial services, and global technology companies desperate to implement their market entry plans.

The interviews illustrate how African venture models are continuously evolving to address specific continental issues:

The most successful African startups are not Western copies. They are new creations molded to local environments. Smart investors understand that unit economics, distribution, and even basic product need to be radically changed.

4.3.2 Southeast Asia

Among the regions studied, Southeast Asia demonstrates the most astounding maturity in terms of developed venture ecosystems and robust investment stage distribution, serving as regional expansion hubs. While Singapore is the preeminent financial center (with 41% of the regional headquarters), operational activity is increasingly dispersed among Indonesia, Vietnam, Thailand, Malaysia, and the Philippines.

Southeast Asian cross-border activity is distinct, comprising 74% of companies with Series B funding or subsequent activity in several regional markets. This contrasts with Latin America and Africa's more nationally centered focus on expansion. Investor insights point to a difference in the rationale, attributing it to smaller domestic markets and more uniformly aligned barriers to entry within the region.

Southeast Asian venture funding differs as regional technology leaders act as core investors. Sea Group, Grab, GoTo, and VNG launch structured venture arms, exclaiming \$3.2 billion in spending throughout the study period. This "success recycling" has immense ecosystem impact, articulated best by a Singapore-based founder who said:

Serving as investors fundamentally shifts the game when they've been operators who understand the dynamics of regional scaling. They are able to provide capital and explain the very many Southeast Asian expansion hurdles.

4.3.3 Latin America

Venture ecosystems in Latin America share distinguishing traits relative to other emerging markets. This region demonstrates the most excellent alignment with the U.S. investment landscape, as 48% of the region's funding comes from North American investors compared to 29% from Southeast Asia and 33% from Africa. However, there is declining dependence as local capital sources evolve, with funds dedicated to Latin America increasing their share from 24% in 2020 to 38% in 2024.

Venture founder demographics in Latin America are more internationally diverse than in other regions. For example, 37% of funded teams have at least one founder who was educated or worked in the United States or Europe. As a Mexico City-based investor noted, this influences knowledge transfer in unique ways.

We observe a very strong blend of international perspective with deep local knowledge among founders. They are not importing business models; they are adopting global best practices tailored to their region.

Latin America exhibits less homogeneity in the business climate compared to Southeast Asia, but Africa's fragmentation is not as pronounced. The regulatory landscape in Brazil, Mexico, and Colombia is more advanced, as they have developed dedicated Fintech and digital business legislation, creating investment-friendly environments. Conversely, venture activity stagnates in countries with weak regulatory frameworks despite having large potential markets.

4.4 Emerging Regulatory and Geopolitical Considerations

Our analysis uncovered an accentuated impact of regulation and geopolitics on venture capital activity in emerging markets.

The effect of data sovereignty regulations hit the hardest, as 63% of interviewed investors noted that requiring data to be stored locally affected their investment decisions. This is particularly salient in the fintech and healthcare sectors, which revolve around handling sensitive personal information.

Geopolitical factors are increasingly influencing the scope of cross-border investments, particularly for dual-use technologies. Investment from China into Southeast Asian ventures decreased from 28% in 2020 to 14% in 2024, while investment vehicles aligned with the U.S. increased their share. This is a case where more complex geopolitical factors come into play beyond economic rationale, as the regional fund manager noted:

The source of capital is no longer just about terms and value-add – it's increasingly about strategic alignment. Founders are thinking about how their investor base could affect future growth, partnership opportunities, and even how they would be treated by regulators.

Another important aspect is the expanding legal frameworks designed to capture and cater to startups' needs. Across all three regions, legal structures that promote innovation are being put into place. There are currently 21 countries in the studied regions with special regulatory sandboxes for Fintech and expanding healthcare innovation, climate technology, and digital ID systems.

Early evidence suggests that these frameworks attract venture capital. Markets with more comprehensive startup regulatory frameworks experience a 43% growth funding increase compared to other markets without such frameworks.

5. DISCUSSION

5.1 Theoretical Implications

Our findings extend existing theoretical frameworks in several important ways. First, the observed patterns affirm and also enhance the institutional void theory (Khanna & Palepu, 2022) by showing that the flow of venture capital increasingly seeks opportunities to address specific institutional gaps rather than avoiding markets with underdeveloped institutional frameworks. This marks a significant shift in how investors think about emerging market opportunities—where investors used to view institutional gaps primarily as risks. However, now they see them as potential advantages for innovative business models.

Second, this analysis contributes to understanding ecosystem evolution trajectories in emerging markets. The distinct developmental patterns observed across Africa, Southeast Asia, and Latin America illustrates multiple plausible pathways instead of a single linear progression model. Southeast Asia's approach to regional integration, Africa's leapfrogging strategy, and Latin America's internationally led/diversified locally oriented developmental model offer unique evolutionary patterns with varying implications for ecosystem actors.

Third, the trends discussed above regarding the composition of investors shift international business theory by explaining how investors' nationality and experience increasingly shape venture outcomes beyond the provision of capital.

The emergent social capital and contextual knowledge regions are carving out for investors highlight the resource dependency gaps in emerging-markets venture activity.

5.2 Practical Implications

5.2.1 For Entrepreneurs

Practical insights inform the venture-designing processes of founders, especially in emerging markets. First, regional scaling trajectory plans should consider specific ecosystem timelines: Southeast Asia has a regionally determined early internationalization, Latin America has a regionally-scouted strategic market selection, and Africa is domestically scaled into before regionally expanding. Second, broader implications of investor selection criteria go beyond capital to include emerging market-tiered expertise, relevant network implications, and geopolitical alignment influences. Third, critical sectorial opportunities embody stark regional differences where one model could be successful in one emerging market but would require extensive alterations rather than direct replication in another.

5.2.2 For Investors

Several strategic implications arise from our analysis for venture investors. First, emerging market expertise is a strong differentiating factor and is increasingly valuable to specialist regional funds, which dominate more than generalist funds on all measurable indicators. Second, opportunity-rich Africa and a more balanced funding continuum in Southeast Asia warrant ecosystem stage-specific strategies for mid

to late-stage and early-stage predominance. Third, sector selection strategies in emerging markets must incorporate global perception with a local adoption rhythm uniquely tailored to the region, evaluating market-shaping infrastructure gaps and development trajectory constraints.

5.2.3 Insights for Policymakers

Local venture ecosystems can be advanced using insights from our comparative analysis, as highlighted below. First, as innovation-driven enterprises attract capital, policies tailored

specifically for these businesses have a measurable impact. Moreover, regulatory certainty oftentimes weighs more than policy specifics. Second, international strategies for developing an entrepreneurial ecosystem should not overlook nurturing local capital. Local funding becomes critical at later-stage company formation. Third, active efforts towards regional coordination are of great value for scaling across borders; thus, fostering collaboration instead of competition may facilitate faster venture growth.

6. CONCLUSION

6.1 Summary of Key Findings

As highlighted in this research, several remarkable milestones were recorded from the middle of 2020 to 2024 regarding the flow of venture capital towards emerging markets. Regardless of global market turbulence, funding availability continues to demonstrate significant growth, which has resulted in a shift in venture capital towards emerging markets. A sectorial analysis shows that the dominance of Fintech is being retained, but it is increasingly becoming more specialized, while Investment in healthcare technology, climate solutions, and AI is rapidly growing. Comparative analysis of regions reveals Africa's unprecedented early-stage growth, Latin America's development into a sophisticated regional investment hub, and Southeast Asia's beginning to show signs of ecosystem maturity evidenced by distinct evolutionary patterns.

6.2 Limitations and Future Research Directions

These conclusions come with several limitations that must be taken into consideration.

Even after our multi-source attempt, venture funding data in emerging markets is incomplete, possibly underrepresenting early-stage activity and deals beyond significant hubs. Second, the study period (2020-2024) includes global exceptional market volatility, which may constrain generalizability to relatively stable periods. Third, our regional divisions overlook the considerable diversity and heterogeneity underlying these markets.

These limitations can be addressed in several ways for future research. Furthermore, longitudinal studies focusing on the outcomes of funded companies would add valuable insights beyond initial capital formation. In addition, practical guidance focusing on emerging venture markets could be derived from comparative analysis identifying factors influencing success across different ecosystem development models. Another compelling avenue of research, especially amid escalating global competition for technology supremacy, is exploring the impact of geopolitical considerations on capital flows.

6.3 Final Comments

The changing venture capital landscape in emerging markets indicates more than cyclical investment behaviors; they point to a fundamental recalibration of the global innovation financing architecture. Entrepreneurial activity in Africa, Southeast Asia, and Latin America is catalyzed by an increasingly sophisticated expansion of the entrepreneurial ecosystem, creating fresh avenues for value creation and posing new challenges for all ecosystem participants. With these evolving dynamics, entrepreneurs, investors, and policymakers are better positioned to navigate the tangled web of emerging market venture capital and work toward developing more inclusive innovation economies.

REFERENCES

1. Adegbesan, T., & Ricart, J. E. (2023). Innovation ecosystems in Africa: Comparative evolution of technology hubs in Lagos, Nairobi, and Cape Town. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 54(3), 651-679.

2. Arundale, K., & Mason, C. (2022). The shifting geography of venture capital: Innovation centres in emerging markets. *Venture Capital: An International Journal of Entrepreneurial Finance*, 24(2), 123-147.
3. Arráiz, I., Henriquez, F., & Stucchi, R. (2021). Venture capital in Latin America: The role of domestic and international investors. *Small Business Economics*, 57(4), 1893-1912.
4. Bruton, G. D., & Ahlstrom, D. (2023). Evolution of venture capital in Southeast Asia: Institutional foundations of regional entrepreneurial finance. *Academy of Management Journal*, 66(1), 213-242.
5. Creswell, J. W., & Clark, V. L. P. (2022). *Designing and conducting mixed methods research* (4th ed.). SAGE Publications.
6. Cumming, D., Fleming, G., & Schwienbacher, A. (2023). Governance mechanisms in emerging market venture capital: Evidence from cross-border investments. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 138(2), 425-452.
7. Dimov, D., Shepherd, D. A., & Sutcliffe, K. M. (2023). Entrepreneurial cognition and venture capital decision making in uncertain environments. *Journal of Business Venturing*, 38(1), 106248.
8. Drouillard, M., Taverner, D., Williamson, C., & Harris, M. (2021). Digital entrepreneurship in Sub-Saharan Africa: Challenges and opportunities. *Information Technology for Development*, 27(3), 542-572.
9. Dushnitsky, G., & Zunino, D. (2023). The role of corporate venture capital in emerging markets. *Organization Science*, 34(2), 895-920.
10. Gioia, D. A., Corley, K. G., & Hamilton, A. L. (2022). Seeking qualitative rigour in inductive research: Notes on the Gioia methodology. *Organizational Research Methods*, 25(1), 15-31.
11. Gompers, P., Gornall, W., Kaplan, S. N., & Strebulaev, I. A. (2021). Venture capitalists and COVID-19. *Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis*, 56(7), 2474-2499.
12. Gubbi, S. R., Aulakh, P. S., & Ray, S. (2020). International search behaviour of business group affiliated firms: Scope of institutional changes and intra-group heterogeneity. *Organization Science*, 31(1), 80-106.
13. Haddad, C., & Hornuf, L. (2021). The emergence of the global fintech market: Economic and technological determinants. *Small Business Economics*, 56(1), 81-105.
14. Huang, L., & Knight, A. P. (2021). Resources and relationships in entrepreneurship: An exchange theory of the development and effects of the entrepreneur-investor relationship. *Academy of Management Review*, 46(1), 43-62.
15. Jensen, M. C., & Meckling, W. H. (1976). Theory of the firm: Managerial behaviour, agency costs and ownership structure. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 3(4), 305-360.
16. Kaplan, S. N., & Lerner, J. (2021). Venture capital data: Opportunities and challenges. In *Measuring Entrepreneurial Businesses: Current Knowledge and Challenges* (pp. 413-431). University of Chicago Press.
17. Khanna, T., & Palepu, K. G. (2022). *Winning in emerging markets: A road map for strategy and execution* (2nd ed.). Harvard Business Press.

18. Kuratko, D. F., & Morris, M. H. (2022). Diaspora investment in entrepreneurial ecosystems: The role of transnational communities in emerging economy venture development. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, 46(1), 117-142.
19. Lerner, J., & Nanda, R. (2020). Venture capital's role in financing innovation: What we know and how much we still need to learn. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 34(3), 237-261.
20. Mingo, S., Junkunc, M., & Morales, F. (2021). The interplay between home and host country institutions in venture capital investment strategies: Evidence from Latin America. *Journal of World Business*, 56(3), 101171.
21. Monteiro, F. (2022). Sectoral specialization in Latin American venture capital: Patterns and drivers of regional investment focus. *Journal of Business Research*, 142, 174-188.
22. Nanda, R., Popp, D., & Youngdahl, B. (2022). Financial constraints and innovation: Evidence from clean energy startups. *Management Science*, 68(7), 5365-5384.
23. Pananond, P., & Yahiaoui, D. (2022). Regulatory harmonization and cross-border venture investment in ASEAN: Empirical evidence from transaction-level data. *Asia Pacific Journal of Management*, 39(2), 599-626.
24. Pfeffer, J., & Salancik, G. R. (1978). *The external control of organizations: A resource dependence perspective*. Harper & Row.
25. Polzin, F., & Sanders, M. (2023). Climate tech venture capital in emerging markets: Investment patterns and strategic priorities. *Research Policy*, 52(1), 104653.
26. Sahlman, W. A. (1990). The structure and governance of venture-capital organizations. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 27(2), 473-521.
27. Saxenian, A. (2022). *The new Argonauts: Regional advantage in a global economy* (Updated edition). Harvard University Press.
28. Van Voorhis, K., & Zhao, M. (2022). Venture capital allocation and the global innovation landscape. *Strategic Management Journal*, 43(9), 1743-1772.
29. Vassolo, R. S., De Castro, J. O., & Gomez-Mejia, L. R. (2021). Managing in Latin America: Challenges and performance. *Academy of Management Perspectives*, 35(1), 36-51